

**REMARKS**


**Interview Summary**

Applicant thanks the Examiners for a courteous and useful interview. In the interview, Applicant pointed out that a housing edge does not suggest the edge of a luminous plate recess, but the examiners did not agree that claim 24 was allowable on that basis. The Examiners agreed that recitation of the luminous plate, being on a focal plane of an optical element distinguished over the prior art of record, but suggested that other prior art taught that. The Examiner courteously shared references to such art.

**New Claims**

The claim limitation that the recess is filled by the LED is supported in paragraph 31 of the application.

Respectfully submitted,



---

By: Robert C. Haldiman, Reg. No. 45,437  
Husch Blackwell Sanders LLC  
190 Carondelet Plaza  
St. Louis, MO 63105  
314-480-1641  
314-480-1505 FAX